



Life  
Course  
Centre

## WORKING PAPER SERIES

No. 2024-22

July 2024

# Cohort profile

The PLAY Spaces & Environments for  
Children's Physical Activity (PLAYCE)  
cohort study, Western Australia

Hayley Christian

Andrea Nathan

Stewart G. Trost

Jasper Schipperijn

Bryan Boruff

Emma K. Adams

Phoebe George

Hannah L. Moore

Trina Robinson

Anna Henry

The Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence  
for Children and Families over the Life Course

Phone +61 7 3346 7477 Email [lcc@uq.edu.au](mailto:lcc@uq.edu.au)

[lifecoursecentre.org.au](http://lifecoursecentre.org.au)



Australian Government

Australian Research Council



THE UNIVERSITY  
OF QUEENSLAND  
AUSTRALIA



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
SYDNEY



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
WESTERN  
AUSTRALIA



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
MELBOURNE

## Research Summary

### Why was the research done?

Childhood is a critical period for the development of movement behaviours such as physical activity, sleep and sedentary behaviour. The PLAYCE Cohort was established to investigate how movement behaviours change over early to middle childhood, across key behaviour settings and relationships with health and development. An overview of the PLAYCE cohort, summary of key findings to date, and future research opportunities are presented in this paper. Children were recruited at 2-5 years of age (preschool; Wave 1) via early childhood education and care (ECEC) services and were followed up in their first year of full-time school (5-7 years; Wave 2) and again at 8-10 years (Wave 3; in progress). Children's movement behaviours were measured via parent-report and accelerometry. Social-emotional development, motor development, weight status, diet, and child and family socio-demographics were parent-reported. Physical environmental features of children's key behaviour settings (home, neighbourhood, ECEC and school) were collected using geo-spatial and audit data. Suburb-level socio-economic status for the home, ECEC and school were derived from the Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA).

### What were the key findings?

At Wave 1 (2-5 years), only 8% of children met all three recommendations of the Australian 24-hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years. Meeting all recommendations was positively associated with boys social-emotional development. Physical environment features of the home yard (size, play equipment, natural features) were positively associated with preschool children's physical activity. Tree canopy and more portable play equipment in ECEC outdoor areas was positively associated with children's outdoor time and physical activity. Future research opportunities include examining the trajectories of movement behaviours across childhood and what socio-economic indicators and other family factors are associated with trajectories, particularly for those children experiencing disadvantage.

### What does this mean for policy and practice?

The findings of the PLAYCE Cohort Study can inform national and international 24-Hour Movement Guidelines and interventions regarding children's movement behaviours. In 2024, Wave 3 (8-10 years) data collection will be completed and traditional longitudinal and compositional data analysis of the PLAYCE cohort will be undertaken. Three waves of data will

provide detailed patterns of movement behaviours and their effect on child health and development as well as the environmental influences on children's movement behaviours across early to middle childhood.

## Citation

Christian, H., Nathan, A., Trost, S.T., Schipperijn, J., Boruff, B., Adams, E.K., George, P., Moore, H.L., Robinson, T., & Henry, A. (2024). 'Cohort profile: The PLAY Spaces & Environments for Children's Physical Activity (PLAYCE) cohort study, Western Australia', Life Course Centre Working Paper Series, 2024-22. Institute for Social Science Research, The University of Queensland.

## The authors

### Hayley Christian

Telethon Kids Institute; School of Population and Global Health, The University of Western Australia

Email: [hayley.christian@telethonkids.org.au](mailto:hayley.christian@telethonkids.org.au)

<https://research-repository.uwa.edu.au/en/persons/hayley-christian>

### Andrea Nathan

Telethon Kids Institute; School of Population and Global Health, The University of Western Australia

Email: [andrea.nathan@telethonkids.org.au](mailto:andrea.nathan@telethonkids.org.au)

<https://www.telethonkids.org.au/contact-us/our-people/n/andrea-nathan/>

### Stewart G. Trost

School of Human Movement and Nutrition Sciences, University of Queensland

Email: [s.trost@uq.edu.au](mailto:s.trost@uq.edu.au)

<https://hmns.uq.edu.au/profile/6458/stewart-trost>

### Jasper Schipperijn

Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark

Email: [jschipperijn@health.sdu.dk](mailto:jschipperijn@health.sdu.dk)

<https://portal.findresearcher.sdu.dk/en/persons/jsc>

### Bryan Boruff

School of Agriculture and Environment, The University of Western Australia

Email: [bryan.boruff@uwa.edu.au](mailto:bryan.boruff@uwa.edu.au)

<https://research-repository.uwa.edu.au/en/persons/bryan-boruff>

### Emma K. Adams

Telethon Kids Institute; School of Population and Global Health, The University of Western Australia

Email: [emma.adams@telethonkids.org.au](mailto:emma.adams@telethonkids.org.au)

<https://www.telethonkids.org.au/contact-us/our-people/a/emma-adams/>

**Phoebe George**

Telethon Kids Institute; School of Population and Global Health, The University of Western Australia

Email: [phoebe.george@telethonkids.org.au](mailto:phoebe.george@telethonkids.org.au)

<https://www.telethonkids.org.au/contact-us/our-people/g/phoebe-george/>

**Hannah L. Moore**

Telethon Kids Institute, The University of Western Australia

Email: [hannah.lmoore@telethonkids.org.au](mailto:hannah.lmoore@telethonkids.org.au)

**Trina Robinson**

Telethon Kids Institute

Email: [trina.robinson@telethonkids.org.au](mailto:trina.robinson@telethonkids.org.au)

<https://www.telethonkids.org.au/contact-us/our-people/r/trina-robinson/>

**Anna Henry**

Telethon Kids Institute

Email: [anna.henry@telethonkids.org.au](mailto:anna.henry@telethonkids.org.au)

## Acknowledgements/Funding Sources

The PLAYCE cohort study is supported by funding from the Western Australian (WA) Health Promotion Foundation (Healthway) (#24219 and #32925) and partial funding from the BEACHES Project. BEACHES is funded by the UKRI-NHMRC Built Environment Prevention Research Scheme (GNT1192764 and MR/T039329/1) and is partially supported by the Australian Government through the Australian Research Council's Centre of Excellence for Children and Families over the Life Course (Project ID CE200100025). HC is supported by a National Heart Foundation Future Leader Fellowship (#102549). EKA is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Fees Offset and Australian Government Research Training Program Stipend, a UWA and Graduate Women (WA) Research Scholarship, and a Stan and Jean Perron Top Up Scholarship.

The authors thank the families who participated in the PLAYCE cohort study for their time and commitment. The National Heart Foundation, WA Department of Health, WA Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries, WA Department of Transport, WA Local Government Association, Cancer Council WA, Nature Play WA, Australian Childcare Alliance (WA), UWA Childcare, Goodstart Early Learning, Maragon Early Learning, Sonas Early Learning & Care, Mercy Care, Great Beginnings Early Education, Jellybeans Child Care & Kindy, Buggles Early Learning and Kindy and ArborCarbon provided support for the project. The PLAYCE cohort study grant investigators (Prof Michael Rosenberg, A/Prof Leanne Lester, Dr Gina Trapp, Prof Garreth

Stratton, Dr Clover Maitland, Dr Ashleigh Thornton) are gratefully appreciated. The PLAYCE research team including are gratefully acknowledged.

Ethics statement: Ethical approval has been granted by The University of Western Australia Human Ethics Research Committee, approval numbers RA/4/1/ 7417 and 2020/ET000353.

Competing interests statement: The authors declare no competing interests.

Data sharing statement: The de-identified data generated from this study will be available for analytic purposes by academics, research staff and students if approved by the Principal Investigator and relevant ethics committees, from 12 months following the anticipated project end date.

DISCLAIMER: The content of this Working Paper does not necessarily reflect the views and opinions of the Life Course Centre. Responsibility for any information and views expressed in this Working Paper lies entirely with the author(s).

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



## Introduction

Childhood is a critical period for the development of key movement behaviours such as regular physical activity, adequate sleep, and minimising sedentary behaviour. The establishment of such behaviours provides the foundation for lifelong health (1, 2) and impacts child health and development outcomes including weight status, cardiorespiratory fitness, the musculoskeletal system, and cognitive and psychosocial development (3-8). With 39 million children globally under the age of five estimated to be overweight (9) and one fifth of Australian children aged 2-4 years overweight or obese (10), developing healthy movement behaviours in the early years is crucial for child health and wellbeing.

Along with Canada, Australia released the first 24-hour Movement Guidelines for the Early years in 2018 (11, 12). These guidelines consider physical activity, sedentary behaviour (including screen time), and sleep as three co-dependent behaviours. Meeting all three movement behaviour recommendations is optimal for child health and development compared with the attainment of a single recommendation (5, 13-17). A large proportion of young Australian children do not achieve movement behaviour guidelines (18, 19). However, estimates vary substantially by age, guideline, and data collection methods. For instance, parent-reported surveillance data suggests 61% of Australian children aged 2-5 years achieve the recommended daily 180 minutes of total physical activity, while only 32% of children aged 5-9 years achieve the recommended daily 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (20). In contrast, using device-based measures between 31-93% of Australian children aged between 2 to 5 years achieve physical activity guidelines (21, 22) with fewer than 15% of children meeting all three movement behaviours (21, 22). Device-based data from 10-12-year-olds indicates 48-65% (23, 24) meet physical activity guidelines and 12-21% (23, 24) meet all three guidelines. To date, most Australian research on children's movement behaviours has been cross-sectional. Thus, it is not known if children who meet movement behaviour guidelines in their early years continue to do so as they progress into middle childhood, and what impact this has on their health and development.

Internationally, a few studies have used device-based measures to track movement behaviours during early to middle childhood. For example, the Sogn og Fjordane Preschool Physical Activity Study (PRESPAS) followed 294 Norwegian preschoolers annually over five years to measure physical activity and sedentary time using accelerometry (25). Total physical activity was found to peak at age five, whereas boys had a later peak (at eight years)

than girls (at seven years) for moderate to vigorous intensity physical activity (25). Sedentary time increased from the age of three years in girls and four years in boys (25). Larger early childhood cohort studies are needed to better understand how movement behaviours change across early childhood, the influence of key behaviour settings on young children's movement behaviours and the longitudinal relationship with health and development outcomes.

There is evidence that higher levels of physical activity and lower levels of sedentary behaviour are associated with reduced adiposity, improved motor skills, improved cardiorespiratory fitness, and improved cardiometabolic health among children (26-28). However, the relationships between different combinations of physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and sleep and children's development are less clear. One of the few studies conducted to date has shown meeting all three movement guidelines (15) is associated with improved behavioural outcomes and some aspects of academic achievement in school-aged children (23). Longitudinal studies are needed to determine the relationship between children's movements behaviours and health and development starting from early childhood.

Another key evidence gap is the longitudinal effects of the environment on movement behaviours across early childhood. Ecological models of behaviour change consider the environmental and policy contexts of behaviour, while incorporating social and psychological influences (29). How movement behaviours accumulate over time may differ across different temporal contexts such as early childhood education and care (ECEC), full-time school and home. To date it appears only one small Australian study has examined the impact of sedentary behaviour as young children transition from ECEC to school. It showed that school transition was marked by an increase of 40 minutes per day of sedentary time and an increase in sedentary bouts during school time (30). Two small international studies have also reported declines in young children's physical activity as they transition to full-time school (31, 32). These studies were limited by a single short period of follow up, thus it is unclear if these effects are permanent or transitional. Longitudinal studies are needed to determine how movement behaviours vary individually (and together) over time across home, ECEC and school to provide necessary evidence of the influence of different time-dependent contexts on young children's movement behaviours.

The overarching aim of the PLAY Spaces and Environments for Children's Physical Activity (PLAYCE) cohort study is to examine changes in Western Australian children's movement behaviours, the influence of setting-specific (ECEC/school, home, neighbourhood) physical, social, and policy environmental factors, and the impact on child health and development

outcomes. The purpose of this paper is to provide an overview of the PLAYCE cohort study, summarise key findings to date, and identify future research opportunities.

## Cohort description

The PLAYCE cohort study is an observational prospective study of 1,918 young children in Perth, Western Australia. Recruitment occurred from April 2015 to April 2018. Two waves of follow-up occurred: during the transition to fulltime schooling, when aged 5-7 years (October 2018 to June 2021) and during middle childhood, when aged 8-10 years (July 2021 to present). At all waves, multiple modes of data collection were utilised allowing for comprehensive measurement of children's movement behaviours (through accelerometry and parent surveys), setting-specific environmental factors (through physical environment audits, policy audits, parent surveys, educator practice surveys, and Geographic Information Systems (GIS)), and child health and development outcomes (through anthropometry measurement, parent surveys, observations and assessments).

## Eligibility and recruitment

Children were recruited from the ECEC service they attended. ECEC services were randomly sampled and recruited evenly across low, medium and high socio-economic area and service size (small, medium, large). Service directors were invited to participate and provided consent for their service to take part in the study. Full details are provided in Christian et al. (33). Overall, 273 ECEC services were invited, with informed consent obtained from 126 service directors (46.2% response rate).

Children attending ECEC services participating in the study were eligible to be part of the PLAYCE cohort if they were aged between 2 and 5 years; did not have a recognised physical, emotional/behavioural or intellectual disability that would affect participation in physical activity; and were not attending full-time school. Children were recruited via parental consent. This occurred in consultation with the ECEC service director. Services were given a variety of study materials (e.g., email and newsletter templates, posters, flyers) to recruit families. Directors distributed recruitment packs to eligible families that contained information about the study, a consent form, and a contact details form.

To be eligible for follow-up at wave 2, children must have transitioned to full-time school, be less than 8 years old, and living in the Perth metropolitan and Peel regions of Western Australia. Similarly, children eligible for wave 3 follow-up were aged between 8 to 10 years and



living in the study area. Parents of eligible PLAYCE cohort participants were emailed a letter inviting them to participate in follow-up data collection. Several follow up attempts were made to reach parents through available contact modes, including phone, email and/or SMS.

Throughout the PLAYCE cohort study, international best-practice follow-up methods and cohort maintenance procedures were employed to retain cohort participants (34). This has included e-newsletters, social media, events (e.g., Family Days), small thank you gifts for participating children (e.g., stickers) and an individualised report on each child’s physical activity behaviour. The wave 2 retention rate was 39.9% of eligible and contactable participants (n=641, Figure 1). Furthermore, 16.6% were out of the age scope for wave 2, 14.1% were unreachable, 28.0% were non-respondents and 1.4% actively withdrew from further participation.




Figure 1. PLAYCE cohort participant flow chart.

## Methods of data collection

At wave 1, researchers attended ECEC services to fit accelerometers on participating children and measure their height and weight. A study pack including the parent survey, accelerometer instructions and accelerometer diary were provided for parents. In addition, at wave 1, educators completed a survey on their practices related to promoting children's physical activity at ECEC and the ECEC physical and policy environment were audited. At waves 2 and 3, participating families were mailed the study pack. Study packs contained the parent survey, accelerometer, accelerometer instructions, accelerometer diary and a tape measure for measuring children's waist circumference and height. Parents were also emailed the link to the survey online. At each wave geospatial measures of the ECEC/school, home and neighbourhood physical environment were created.

Multiple data collection approaches have been utilised across three waves of the PLAYCE cohort study to date. The measures are summarised in **Error! Reference source not found.** and described in more detail in the following sections.

Table 1. Summary of data collected in the PLAYCE cohort study

	Data collection mode	Wave 1	Wave 2	Wave 3
<b>Movement behaviours</b>				
Physical activity	Accelerometry	X	X	X
	Parent survey	X	X	X
	Global Positioning Systems	X		
Sedentary behaviour	Accelerometry	X	X	X
	Parent survey	X	X	X
	Global Positioning Systems	X		
Sleep	Accelerometry		X	X
	Parent survey	X	X	X
<b>Child health and development outcomes</b>				
Weight status	Anthropometry	X	X	X
	Parent survey	X	X	X
Waist circumference	Anthropometry		X	X
Diet	Parent survey		X	X
Respiratory symptoms*	Parent survey	X		
Social-emotional development	Parent survey	X	X	X
Motor development	Parent survey	X	X	X
Self-regulation*	Observation & assessment	X		
Cognitive school readiness*	Observation & assessment	X		
<b>Socio-demographic factors</b>				
Child socio-demographics	Parent survey	X	X	X
Parent socio-demographics	Parent survey	X	X	X
ECEC educator socio-demographics	Educator survey	X		
<b>Psycho-social environment factors</b>				
Child preference for physical activity	Parent survey	X	X	X
Parent importance of physical activity	Parent survey	X	X	X
Child physical activity and screen time practices at home	Parent survey	X	X	X
Physical activity social support for child	Parent survey	X	X	X
Family dog ownership and physical activity	Parent survey	X	X	X
Educator-reported amount of physical activity	Educator survey	X		

Educator practices to promote child physical activity	Educator survey	X		
Educator-reported amount of sedentary behaviour	Educator survey	X		
Educator screen time-related practices	Educator survey	X		
Educator physical activity professional development	Educator survey	X		
Educator importance of physical activity*	Educator survey	X		
Educator perceived behavioural control for physical activity*	Educator survey	X		
Educator self-efficacy in promoting child physical activity*	Educator survey	X		
Educator barriers and motivation for promoting child physical activity*	Educator survey	X		
Educator sun protection practices*	Educator survey	X		
<b>Physical environment (home and neighbourhood) factors</b>				
Suburb socio-economic status (SEIFA)	Australian Bureau of Statistics	X	X	X
Time lived at address and in neighbourhood	Parent survey	X		X
Motor vehicles	Parent survey	X	X	X
Household size	Parent survey	X	X	X
Devices in child's bedroom	Parent survey	X		X
Dwelling type	Parent survey	X		X
Home yard size	Parent survey	X		X
	Geographic Information Systems	X	X	X
Home yard equipment	Parent survey	X		X
Home yard vegetation	Parent survey	X		X
	Geographic Information Systems	X	X	X
Crime and traffic-related safety	Parent survey	X		X
	Geographic Information Systems	X	X	X
Access to services and destinations	Parent survey	X		X
	Geographic Information Systems	X	X	X
Street connectivity	Parent survey	X		X
	Geographic Information Systems	X	X	X
Mixed land use	Parent survey	X		X
	Geographic Information Systems	X	X	X
Residential density	Parent survey	X		X
	Geographic Information Systems	X	X	X
Green spaces	Parent survey	X		X
	Geographic Information Systems	X	X	X

Blue spaces	Geographic Information Systems	X		
Weather	Australian Bureau of Meteorology	X	X	X
<b>Physical environment (ECEC and school) factors</b>				
Suburb socio-economic status (SEIFA)	Australian Bureau of Statistics	X	X	X
Size	Australian Children's Education and Care Quality Authority/ Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority	X	X	X
Green spaces	Geographic Information Systems	X	X	X
Traffic exposure	Geographic Information Systems	X	X	X
Street connectivity	Geographic Information Systems	X	X	X
ECEC play equipment	Educator survey	X		
	Environmental audit	X		
ECEC indoor and outdoor spaces	Educator survey	X		
	Environmental audit	X		
ECEC indoor and outdoor air pollution*	PM <sub>2.5</sub> using a DustTrak	X		
<b>Policy environment (ECEC and school) factors</b>				
Physical activity policy	Policy audit	X	X	X
Screen use policy	Policy audit	X	X	X
Sun protection policy	Policy audit	X	X	X

\* Denotes a PLAYCE cohort sub-study. Data not collected for all children in a wave or for all waves.

## **Accelerometry**

Children's movement behaviours were measured using ActiGraph GT3X+ accelerometers (ActiGraph Corporation, Pensacola, FL USA). Children wore devices on the right hip over a consecutive 7-day period, excluding water activities and sleep in wave 1. From wave 2, children wore devices across the full 24-hour 7-day period (to capture sleep). Parents also completed a diary to record any times the device was removed, sleep and wake times, and start and end times of ECEC or school attendance. Valid wear time was defined as a minimum of eight hours of wear on at least three weekdays and one weekend day. Non-wear periods were identified by summing the 15 second windows in which the standard deviation of the signal vector magnitude was  $<13$  mg for  $\geq 30$  consecutive minutes (35). A random forest classification model was used to classify raw tri-axial acceleration signals as sedentary behaviours (e.g., sitting or lying down), light intensity activities and games (e.g., standing, slow walking or pottering around, standing arts and crafts), walking, running, and moderate-to-vigorous intensity activities and games (e.g., active games with balls, riding bikes/scooters) (36). The random forests algorithm has an overall classification accuracy of  $>80\%$ , a higher agreement with measured physical activity intensity than cut-point methods, and exhibits equivalence with direct observation (37). Total energetic play was calculated by summing daily time spent in walking, running, and moderate-to-vigorous activities and games; and total physical activity was calculated by summing daily time spent in energetic play and light intensity activities and games.

## **Global Positioning Systems**

A subset of 237 wave 1 children from 30 randomly selected ECEC centres also wore the Qstarz Q-1000XT GPS device on their left hip (on the same belt as the accelerometer) for seven consecutive days during waking hours. This GPS device has a median dynamic accuracy of 2.9 metres under different environmental conditions (38) and collects X and Y location coordinates, distance, speed, elevation and time for seven days. Data validation for GPS devices were the same as those for accelerometers. As the accelerometer and GPS were worn on the same belt, removing either device meant both were removed for the same period of time.

## **Anthropometry**

At wave 1, the research team visited participating ECEC services to collect data on participating children's height and weight. Height was measured by a portable stadiometer

and weight was measured by a calibrated weight scale. At waves 2 and 3, parents provided the height, weight, and waist circumference for their child following a validated protocol using a paper tape measure posted to them in their study pack, and their household scale. Age- and gender-specific z-scores for body mass index (BMI) were derived using the WHO Child Growth Standards (39, 40). Waist circumference values will be compared against percentiles for Australian children (41).

### **Parent survey**

Parents reported their child's weekly number of activities and total time spent in structured physical activities (e.g., swimming, dance, football/soccer), the weekly frequency of unstructured physical activities (e.g., walking or riding for transport or fun, playing in the yard, playing with a dog), and weekly time spent playing outdoors in the home yard and at parks (42). Physical activity items were adapted from the Healthy Active Preschool Years Study (43). Children's usual daily sleep and weekly screen time, parental support for physical activity, perceived importance their child is active, and rules and limits around physical activity and sedentary behaviour were collected using established items (43, 44., 45). Children's diet were measured at waves 2 and 3 using a parent-report short food survey developed and tested for Australian children (46). The 38-item short food survey provides a consistent estimate of overall compliance to dietary guidelines for children 4-11 years.

Social-emotional development was measured at each wave using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (47). The SDQ is a widely used and validated population-based child development questionnaire. Twenty-five items measure five developmental domains: emotional symptoms, peer problems, conduct problems, hyperactivity, and prosocial behaviours. Motor development was measured at each wave using an adapted version of the Athletic Competence subscale of the Self-Perception Profile for Children (48, 49) to measure gross locomotor and object control skills.

Parent perceptions of the neighbourhood environment (e.g., safety, access to services, places for walking) were measured using the Neighbourhood Walkability Scale for Youth (NEWS-Y) (50).

Parents reported their age, sex, education, work status, marital status, country of birth, dwelling type, number of children in the household, and family dog ownership. Education and work status were reported for both parents (where applicable) at wave 1. Parents also provided the study child's sex and date of birth.

## **Educator survey**

Details of the educator survey have been published previously (51). Briefly, the survey assessed the practices of ECEC staff to promote and support children's physical activity including time provided for physical activity and screen time, educator role in children's physical activity, educator physical activity practices, educator professional development, provision of physical activity equipment and indoor spaces for play. Items were from the Nutrition and Physical Activity Self-Assessment for Child Care (Go NAP SAAC) instruments (52) and were updated, modified and tested for the Australian ECEC context.

## **Physical and policy environmental audits**

Details of the educator survey have been published previously (51). Briefly, the audit assessed the physical and policy environment of ECEC centres that may support (or hinder) children's physical activity. The physical environment component assessed the indoor and outdoor environment including spaces, play and media equipment, and built and natural features. The policy environment component of the audit examined the presence and content of any service policies related to children's physical activity, screen time and sun-protection.

## **Geographic Information Systems**

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) derived built environment measures were calculated at the residential address level at each wave (and ECEC service address at wave 1). GIS measures for each participant were calculated using specified buffer areas of 500m and 1600m from home and ECEC services/school. Measures included outdoor space, vegetation, availability and quality of public open space, blue space, traffic exposure, public transport, street connectivity, destination mix, residential density and access to child-relevant services (i.e., ECEC, school, playgroup, child health centre, out of school hours care) (53, 54).

## **Other data**

Several publicly available data sets were included. Suburb-level socio-economic status for the home, ECEC and school were derived from the Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA) (55). ECEC service data (e.g., number of children, quality ratings, location) were accessed from the Australian Children's Education & Care Quality Authority (ACECQA) (56) at wave 1. Weather data were obtained from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (57) (waves 1 to 3) and data on the school environment (number of children, location) was downloaded from the Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority (waves 2 and 3) (58). Small sub-studies at wave 1 collected data on young children's cognitive school readiness and self-regulation (59),



educator perceived behavioural control, self-efficacy, perceived importance, barriers and enablers related to children's physical activity (60), educator sun protection practices with children and air pollution at ECEC services (61).

### **Impact of COVID-19**

On March 15, 2020, Western Australia entered a state of emergency to manage the COVID-19 pandemic which imposed limits on face-to-face gatherings and travel. Families were encouraged to keep children home from school from March 26, 2020 (62). On March 30, the Australian Federal Government announced the closure of indoor sporting facilities, playgrounds, skate parks, and outdoor gyms in public spaces. In addition, Australians were advised to stay home except for essential purposes. Western Australian schools reopened from April 29, 2020, and all students were required to be back at school from May 18, 2020 (62). Wave 2 data collection, which began prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, continued during these events. As data collection did not require face-to-face contact or travel, COVID-19 restrictions did not prevent data collection from continuing but may have impacted the wave 2 response rate. This is documented in the PLAYCE cohort dataset and has been highlighted as a future research question.

### **Characteristics of study participants**

At wave 1, the median age of children was 3.3 years and 53% of children were male (table 2). The majority of parents were female (91%) and almost all were married or in a de facto relationship (89%). At wave 1, 56% of parents had a university-level education and worked part- or full-time (81%). Two-thirds of children had siblings (67%) and 42% had a dog. At wave 2, a higher proportion of parents were university educated (67%) and as expected a higher proportion of children had siblings (83%). For the most part, representativeness of the cohort appears to have been maintained in wave 2 despite fewer respondents.

Table 2. Characteristics of PLAYCE cohort participants at wave 1 and wave 2

	Wave 1	Wave 2
Total n	1918	641
Child age <sup>1</sup> (median years (IQR))	3.3 (2.7-3.9)	6.3 (4.7-7.7)
	n (%)	n (%)
Child sex <sup>2</sup>		
Male	1011 (52.7)	331 (51.6)
Female	906 (47.3)	310 (48.4)
Child has siblings <sup>3</sup>		
No	548 (33.2)	106 (17.6)
Yes	1103 (66.8)	498 (82.5)
Parent 1 sex <sup>4</sup>		
Male	147 (8.9)	50 (8.3)
Female	1506 (91.1)	556 (91.8)
Parent education <sup>5</sup>		
Secondary school, diploma, certificate	723 (43.7)	199 (32.9)
University	932 (56.3)	406 (67.1)
Parent work status <sup>6</sup>		
Not in paid work	316 (19.1)	77 (12.7)
Paid full time work	553 (33.4)	233 (38.6)
Paid part time work	788 (47.6)	294 (48.7)
Parent marital status <sup>7</sup>		
Not married or de facto	187 (11.3)	67 (11.7)
Married or de facto	1464 (88.7)	538 (88.9)
Dog owner <sup>8</sup>		
Yes	686 (41.6)	262 (43.38)
No	963 (58.4)	342 (56.6)

N and percentages presented for completed responses only; not all participating children completed surveys. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Missing cases: <sup>1</sup>n=280 (wave 1), n=11 (wave 2); <sup>2</sup>n= 1 (wave 1), n= 0 (wave 2); <sup>3</sup>n=267 (wave 1), n=37 (wave 2); <sup>4</sup>n=265 (wave 1), n=35 (wave 2); <sup>5</sup>n=263 (wave 1), n=36 (wave 2); <sup>6</sup>n=261 (wave 1), n=37 (wave 2); <sup>7</sup>n=267 (wave 1), n=36 (wave 2); <sup>8</sup>n=269 (wave 1), n=37 (wave 2).

## Patient and public involvement

At the end of wave 1, a community-based research priority setting workshop was held with 40 with parents, ECEC providers and stakeholders to provide input to the direction of the PLAYCE study and related research program. Participants discussed and identified where research was needed to best support young children's health and development, including continued follow up of the cohort. Early in wave 2 a consumer reference group was established with 10 parents of 0-8-year-olds from the Perth/Peel region in the Western Australia community. Members had a two-year appointment on the reference group, and at the start of wave 3, 13 new members were appointed. The consumer reference group provide input to all aspects of the PLAYCE study research including identifying areas where research is needed, research methodology including recruitment and data collection, and the interpretation and translation of findings to positively impact young children's movement behaviours, health and development. Parents provide input through a consultative process, meeting a minimum of three times per year, with face-to-face meetings transitioning to online meetings during COVID-19.

## Findings to date

### Describing young children's movement behaviours

Child movement behaviours at wave 1 are summarised in Table 3. On average, preschool aged children participated in 373 minutes per day of total physical activity of which 39.1 minutes was energetic play. Preschool children did structured physical activity 1.2 times per week, and unstructured physical activity 20.5 times per week. They also accumulated 106.9 minutes per day of screen time and 11.5 hours per day of sleep. Overall, 42% met the physical activity, 67% met the screen time, and 92% met the sleep guidelines in the Australian 24-hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years.

Table 3. Summary of PLAYCE participants' movement behaviours at wave 1.

	N	Mean (SD)	Median	IQR
<b>Device-derived movement behaviour (mins/day)</b>				
Sedentary time	1167	292.0 (63.5)	286.7	76.6
Light intensity activities and games	1167	333.9 (46.9)	331.4	59.3
Walking	1167	17.4 (8.3)	16.0	10.0
Running	1167	4.2 (2.9)	3.65	3.7
Moderate-to-vigorous intensity activities and games	1167	17.5 (10.2)	15.5	9.7
Energetic play	1167	39.1 (14.2)	38	16.3
Total physical activity	1167	373.0 (51.5)	370.3	66.5
<b>Parent-report movement behaviour</b>				
Structured physical activity (times/week)	1658	1.2 (1.3)	1.00	2.0
Unstructured physical activity (times/week)	1625	20.5 (10.0)	19.5	14.0
Screen time (mins/week)	1594	748.1 (557.5)	630.0	660.0
Sleep time (hours/day)	1559	11.5 (1.3)	11.5	1.5
<b>Met age-specific movement behaviour guideline</b>				
	N	n (%)		
Physical activity <sup>1</sup>	1111	471 (42.4)		
Screen time <sup>2</sup>	1594	1073 (32.7)		
Sleep time <sup>3</sup>	1499	1372 (91.5)		

N and percentages presented for completed responses only. Energetic play is the sum of walking, running, and moderate-vigorous activities and games. Total physical activity is the sum of light intensity activities and games and energetic play.

<sup>1</sup> Met guideline if child obtained  $\geq 180$  minutes of total physical activity and  $\geq 60$  minutes of energetic play if aged 3-5 years. Must have valid age recorded to be included in denominator. Derived from accelerometry.

<sup>2</sup> Met guideline if child had  $< 1$  hour of parent-reported screen time per day.

<sup>3</sup> Met guideline if child was 2 years old and had 11-14 hours of parent-reported sleep/day or child was 3-5 years old and had 10-13 hours of parent-reported sleep/day.

## Movement behaviours and early child development

Preschool children's physical activity, particularly moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, has been shown to be positively associated with their social-emotional development, self-regulation and cognitive school readiness (59, 63). This was supported by findings showing meeting all three Australian 24-hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years was positively associated with preschool boy's social-emotional development (64).

## Influence of the ECEC setting

Wave 1 findings identified that many young children are not sufficiently active whilst attending ECEC, with only 16% of services including children's physical activity in their policies (65). Furthermore, in a nested pilot intervention physical activity-related professional development for ECEC educators was effective in improving ECEC educator's self-efficacy to engage children to be active (66). These findings have been used to develop and test an evidence-informed physical activity policy intervention specific to the time children attend ECEC (67, 68).

In other studies of the ECEC physical environment tree canopy in outdoor spaces was found to be an important sun protection strategy and enabler of children's outdoor time at ECEC (61). Using a novel method of combined device-measured physical activity, spatial data and on-site audit data, another study found preschooler physical activity hot spots were common in ECEC open areas and in adjacent outdoor play areas if children could freely move between these areas (69). The findings of this study provided objective behavioural and spatial information of the types of ECEC outdoor play area designs that promote physical activity in preschoolers. Moreover, in another sub-study, air pollution concentrations in ECECs were observed to be highest when preschool children are likely to be active outdoors at ECEC (70). Avoiding locating ECEC services in high traffic areas was a key recommendation from this study.

## Home setting

An investigation of the relationship between the home yard space and preschool children's outdoor play found physical environment features of the home yard (yard size, play equipment, natural features) were positively associated with preschool children's outdoor play (71). Two other studies have examined the relationship between family dog ownership and preschooler physical activity and social-emotional development (72, 73). For example, children from dog-

owning households had reduced odds of social-emotional problems, compared with children without a family dog (73). Moreover, children of dog-owning families who walked or played with their dog more often had improved prosocial behaviours (73).

## Neighbourhood setting

Using combined device-measured physical activity (accelerometry), physical activity spatial data (Global Position Systems) as well as data on the neighbourhood physical environment (Geographic Information Systems), preschool children were found to engage in more energetic play in parks and playgrounds and in the homes of others outside their local neighbourhood (e.g., friends and family) (74). Two studies have highlighted the role of parent's perceptions of the neighbourhood environment on young children's physical activity levels and social-emotional development (75, 76). For example, using data from wave 1, parents' positive perceptions of traffic safety, crime safety and land use mix were associated with lower odds of pre-schooler social-emotional difficulties (75). The study concluded that interventions to improve parents' perceptions of built environment features may facilitate opportunities for play and interaction contributing to healthy social-emotional development (75).

## Collaboration

The de-identified datasets generated from this study will be available for analytic purposes by researchers if approved by the Principal Investigator and relevant ethics committees.

Table 1 presents an overview of possible future research questions that can be addressed through the PLAYCE cohort study.

*Table 1. Possible future studies using the PLAYCE cohort data.*

Research theme	Question	Outcome
Compositional analyses of movement behaviours	What is the ideal composition of 24-hour movement behaviours for improving child development and weight status?	Weight status, social-emotional development, motor development
Impact of transitioning to full-time school	How do young children’s movement behaviours change as they transition to full time school?	Change in physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep overall and across settings (ECEC, school and home)
Longitudinal patterns of physical activity from pre-school to middle childhood	What are the trajectories of movement behaviours across childhood and what socio-demographic and other family factors are associated with trajectories?	Change in total physical activity, energetic play, sedentary time, sleep
Evaluating natural experiments	What was the impact of COVID-19 restrictions on changes in device-based movement behaviours?	Total physical activity, energetic play

## Further details

### Strength and Limitations

The PLAYCE study is one of the largest cohort studies of device-measured movement behaviour and environmental data for children from early to middle childhood. The cohort can provide strong longitudinal evidence of the causal relationships between early movement behaviours, key behaviour settings and health and development indicators in children. Device-measured movement behaviours are complemented by parent-report data, providing insights into the role of families, parent perceptions, and the home, neighbourhood, ECEC and school physical environment in forming and modifying these behaviours. Having a strong evidence base for understanding the relationships between movement behaviours and key health and development indicators in children will enable the development of effective targeted



interventions to curb the child obesity epidemic and ensure all children have opportunity to lead an active healthy life. The PLAYCE cohort study will identify the optimal dose and combination of movement behaviours to promote healthy weight, and social-emotional, cognitive, and motor development across childhood. Findings can also inform national (and international) movement behaviour guidelines and consideration of the important transitional periods in early childhood (i.e., to full-time school).

The machine learning algorithm used to process accelerometer data in the PLAYCE cohort is novel. It can distinguish changes in different types and intensities of physical activity across early childhood. This methodology provides a more accurate classification of physical activity behaviours than traditional cut-point analysis methods (37), and therefore enables more accurate assessment of children's movement behaviours over time.

There are some limitations to the PLAYCE cohort study. While there was attrition between wave 1 and waves 2 and 3, the representativeness of the sample remained largely unaffected and is sufficiently powered for longitudinal analyses. The study recruited from a single site (Perth, Australia) and therefore may not be representative of all Australian children. Additionally, the recruited sample appears to underrepresent families with lower relative socioeconomic status, such as single-parent families and parents with below degree-level education.

## References

1. Malina R. Tracking of physical activity and physical fitness across the lifespan. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*. 1996;67(3):S48-57. DOI: 10.1080/02701367.1996.10608853
2. Telama R. Tracking of physical activity from childhood to adulthood: A review. *Obesity Facts*. 2009;2(3):187-95. DOI: 10.1159/000222244
3. LeBlanc AG, Spence JC, Carson V, Connor Gorber S, Dillman C, Janssen I, et al. Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in the early years (aged 0–4 years). *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*. 2012;37(4):753-72. DOI: 10.1139/h2012-063
4. Poitras VJ, Gray CE, Borghese MM, Carson V, Chaput J-P, Janssen I, et al. Systematic review of the relationships between objectively measured physical activity and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*. 2016;41(6 S3):S197-S239. DOI: 10.1139/apnm-2015-0663
5. Chaput J-P, Gray CE, Poitras VJ, Carson V, Gruber R, Birken CS, et al. Systematic review of the relationships between sleep duration and health indicators in the early years (0–4 years). *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(5):855. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-017-4850-2
6. Carson V, Lee E-Y, Hewitt L, Jennings C, Hunter S, Kuzik N, et al. Systematic review of the relationships between physical activity and health indicators in the early years (0-4 years). *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(5):854. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-017-4860-0
7. Timmons BW, LeBlanc AG, Carson V, Connor Gorber S, Dillman C, Janssen I, et al. Systematic review of physical activity and health in the early years (aged 0–4 years). *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*. 2012;37(4):773-92. DOI: 10.1139/h2012-070
8. Warburton DER, Bredin SSD. Health benefits of physical activity: a systematic review of current systematic reviews. *Current Opinion in Cardiology*. 2017;32(5):541-56. DOI: 10.1097/HCO.0000000000000437
9. World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight: WHO; 2021 [Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight>].
10. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australia's children. Canberra, Australia: AIHW; 2020.
11. Okely AD, Ghersi D, Hesketh KD, Santos R, Loughran SP, Cliff DP, et al. A collaborative approach to adopting/adapting guidelines - The Australian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the early years (Birth to 5 years): an integration of physical activity, sedentary behavior, and sleep. *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(Suppl 5):869-. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-017-4867-6
12. Australian Government Department of Health. Australian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the early Years (birth to 5 years): An Integration of Physical Activity, Sedentary Behaviour, and Sleep.
13. Rollo S, Antsygina O, Tremblay MS. The whole day matters: Understanding 24-hour movement guideline adherence and relationships with health indicators across the lifespan. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*. 2020;9(6):493-510. DOI: 10.1016/j.jshs.2020.07.004
14. Chaput JP, Saunders TJ, Carson V. Interactions between sleep, movement and other non-movement behaviours in the pathogenesis of childhood obesity. *Obesity Reviews*. 2017;18(S1):7-14. DOI: 10.1111/obr.12508

15. Carson V, Chaput JP, Janssen I, Tremblay MS. Health associations with meeting new 24-hour movement guidelines for Canadian children and youth. *Preventive Medicine*. 2017;95:7-13. DOI: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.12.005
16. Roman-Viñas B, Chaput J-P, Katzmarzyk PT, Fogelholm M, Lambert EV, Maher C, et al. Proportion of children meeting recommendations for 24-hour movement guidelines and associations with adiposity in a 12-country study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 2016;13(1):123. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-016-0449-8
17. Janssen I, Roberts KC, Thompson W. Is adherence to the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Behaviour Guidelines for Children and Youth associated with improved indicators of physical, mental, and social health? *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*. 2017;42(7):725-31. DOI: 10.1139/apnm-2016-0681
18. Hesketh KD, Booth V, Cleland V, Gomersall SR, Olds T, Reece L, et al. Results from the Australian 2022 Report Card on physical activity for children and young people. *Journal of Exercise Science & Fitness*. 2023;21(1):83-7. DOI: 10.1016/j.jesf.2022.10.006
19. Active Healthy Kids Australia. Reboot! Reimagining Physically Active Lives: 2022 Australian Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Young People. Melbourne, Australia: Active Healthy Kids Australia; 2022.
20. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Physical activity across the life stages. Canberra, Australia: AIHW; 2018.
21. Christian H, Murray K, Trost SG, Schipperijn J, Trapp G, Maitland C, et al. Meeting the Australian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years is associated with better social-emotional development in preschool boys. *Preventive Medicine Reports*. 2022;27. DOI: 10.1016/j.pmedr.2022.101770
22. Cliff DP, McNeill J, Vella SA, Howard SJ, Santos R, Batterham M, et al. Adherence to 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years and associations with social-cognitive development among Australian preschool children. *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(5):857. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-017-4858-7
23. Watson A, Dumuid D, Maher C, Olds T. Associations between meeting 24-hour movement guidelines and academic achievement in Australian primary school-aged children. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*. 2022;11(4):521-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.jshs.2020.12.004
24. Chong KH, Parrish A-M, Cliff DP, Dumuid D, Okely AD. Changes in 24-hour movement behaviours during the transition from primary to secondary school among Australian children. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2022;22(8):1276-86. DOI: 10.1080/17461391.2021.1903562
25. Aadland E, Okely AD, Nilsen AKO. Trajectories of physical activity and sedentary time in Norwegian children aged 3-9 years: a 5-year longitudinal study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 2022;19(1):67. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-022-01286-0
26. Kuzik N, Poitras VJ, Tremblay MS, Lee E-Y, Hunter S, Carson V. Systematic review of the relationships between combinations of movement behaviours and health indicators in the early years (0-4 years). *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(5):849. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-017-4851-1

27. Okely AD, Ghersi D, Loughran SP, Cliff DP, Shilton T, Jones RA, et al. A collaborative approach to adopting/adapting guidelines. The Australian 24-hour movement guidelines for children (5-12 years) and young people (13-17 years): An integration of physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and sleep. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 2022;19(1):2. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-021-01236-2
28. Tremblay MS, Chaput J-P, Adamo KB, Aubert S, Barnes JD, Choquette L, et al. Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years (0–4 years): An integration of physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and sleep. *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(5):874. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-017-4859-6
29. Sallis JF, Owen N. Ecological models of health behavior. *Health behavior: Theory, research, and practice*, 5th ed. Hoboken, NJ, US: Jossey-Bass/Wiley; 2015. p. 43-64.
30. Carson V, Salmon J, Crawford D, Hinkley T, Hesketh KD. Longitudinal levels and bouts of objectively measured sedentary time among young Australian children in the HAPPY study. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*. 2016;19(3):232-6. DOI: 10.1016/j.jsams.2015.01.009
31. Taylor RW, Williams SM, Farmer VL, Taylor BJ. Changes in physical activity over time in young children: a longitudinal study using accelerometers. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(11):e81567. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081567
32. Sigmund E, Sigmundová D, El Ansari W. Changes in physical activity in pre-schoolers and first-grade children: longitudinal study in the Czech Republic. *Child: Care Health and Development*. 2009;35(3):376-82. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2214.2009.00945.x
33. Christian H, Maitland C, Enkel S, Trapp G, Trost SG, Schipperijn J, et al. Influence of the day care, home and neighbourhood environment on young children's physical activity and health: protocol for the PLAYCE observational study. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6(12):e014058-e. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014058
34. Dillman DA, Smyth JD, Christian LM. Internet, phone, mail, and mixed mode surveys: The tailored design method. 4th ed. Hoboken, NJ, US: John Wiley & Sons Inc; 2014. xvii, 509-xvii, p.
35. Ahmadi MN, Nathan N, Sutherland R, Wolfenden L, Trost SG. Non-wear or sleep? Evaluation of five non-wear detection algorithms for raw accelerometer data. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2020;38(4):399-404. DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2019.1703301
36. Ahmadi MN, Pavey TG, Trost SG. Machine Learning Models for Classifying Physical Activity in Free-Living Preschool Children. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2020;20(16):4364. DOI: 10.3390/s20164364
37. Ahmadi MN, Trost SG. Device-based measurement of physical activity in pre-schoolers: Comparison of machine learning and cut point methods. *PLOS One*. 2022;17(4):e0266970. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0266970
38. Jankowska MM, Schipperijn J, Kerr J. A framework for using GPS data in physical activity and sedentary behavior studies. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*. 2015;43(1):48-56. DOI: 10.1249/JES.0000000000000035
39. World Health Organization. WHO child growth standards: length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: methods and development. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2006.

40. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C, Siekmann J. Development of a WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 2007;85(9):660-7.
41. Eisenmann JC. Waist circumference percentiles for 7-to 15-year-old Australian children. *Acta Paediatrica*. 2005;94(9):1182-5. DOI: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2005.tb02071.x
42. Burdette HL, Whitaker RC, Daniels SR. Parental report of outdoor playtime as a measure of physical activity in preschool-aged children. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*. 2004;158(4):353-7. DOI: 10.1001/archpedi.158.4.353
43. Hinkley T, Salmon J, Okely AD, Crawford D, Hesketh K. The HAPPY Study: Development and reliability of a parent survey to assess correlates of preschool children's physical activity. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*. 2012;15(5):407-17. DOI: 10.1016/j.jsams.2011.12.009
44. Vaughn A, Hales D, Ward D. Measuring the Physical Activity Practices Used by Parents of Preschool Children. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2013;45(12):doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31829d27de.
45. Trost SG, Sallis JF, Pate RR, Freedson PS, Taylor WC, Dowda M. Evaluating a model of parental influence on youth physical activity. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2003;25(4):277-82. DOI: 10.1016/s0749-3797(03)00217-4
46. Hendrie GA, Smith EV, Golley RK. The reliability and relative validity of a diet index score for 4–11-year-old children derived from a parent-reported short food survey. *Public Health Nutrition*. 2014;17(7):1486-97. DOI: 10.1017/S1368980013001778
47. Goodman R. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A Research Note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 1997;38(5):581-6. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.1997.tb01545.x
48. Harter S. *Self-Perception Profile for Children: Manual and Questionnaires (Revision of the Self-Perception Profile for Children, 1985)*. Denver, USA: University of Denver; 2012.
49. Loprinzi PD, Trost SG. Parental influences on physical activity behavior in preschool children. *Preventive Medicine*. 2010;50(3):129-33. DOI: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2009.11.010
50. Rosenberg D, Ding D, Sallis JF, Kerr J, Norman GJ, Durant N, et al. Neighborhood Environment Walkability Scale for Youth (NEWS-Y): Reliability and relationship with physical activity. *Preventive Medicine*. 2009;49(2):213-8. DOI: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2009.07.011
51. Maitland C, Lester L, Trost SG, Rosenberg M, Schipperijn J, Trapp G, et al. The Influence of the Early Childhood Education and Care Environment on Young Children's Physical Activity: Development and Reliability of the PLAYCE Study Environmental Audit and Educator Survey. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2020;17(7). DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17072497
52. Ward D, Morris E, McWilliams C, Vaughn A, Erinosh T, Mazzuca S, et al. Go NAP SACC: Nutrition and physical activity self-assessment for child care. Center for Health Promotion and Disease Prevention and Department of Nutrition, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. 2014.
53. Pedrick-Case R BR, Beck B, Beesley B, Boruff B, Brophy S, Cross D, Dhamrait G, Duncan J, Gething P, Johnson RD, Lyons RA, Mizen A, Murray K, Pouliou T, Rafferty J, Robinson T, Rosenberg M, Schipperijn J, Thompson DA, Trost SG, Watkins A, Stratton G, Fry R\*, Christian

- HE\*, Griffiths LJ \*=co-senior authors. Built Environments And Child Health in WalEs and AuStralia (BEACHES): a study protocol. *BMJ Open*. 2022;12:e061978. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061978
54. Christian H, Maitland C, Enkel S, Trapp G, Trost SG, Schipperijn J, et al. Influence of the day care, home and neighbourhood environment on young children's physical activity and health: protocol for the PLAYCE observational study. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6(12):e014058. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014058
  55. Australian Bureau of Statistics A. Socio-economic indexes for areas (SEIFA). Australian Bureau of Statistics Canberra.; 2011.
  56. Australian Children's Education & Care Quality Authority. National Registers: Australian Children's Education & Care Quality Authority; 2023 [Available from: <https://www.acecqa.gov.au/resources/national-registers>].
  57. Bureau of Meteorology. Climate Data Services: Bureau of Meteorology; 2023 [Available from: <https://reg.bom.gov.au/climate/data-services/>].
  58. Australian Curriculum AARA. ACARA Data Access Program: Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority; 2023 [Available from: <https://www.acara.edu.au/contact-us/acara-data-access>].
  59. Bai P, Johnson S, Trost SG, Lester L, Nathan A, Christian H. The Relationship between Physical Activity, Self-Regulation and Cognitive School Readiness in Preschool Children. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021;18(22):11797. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph182211797
  60. Bai P, Thornton A, Lester L, Schipperijn J, Trapp G, Boruff B, et al. Nature Play and Fundamental Movement Skills Training Programs Improve Childcare Educator Supportive Physical Activity Behavior. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2019;17(1). DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17010223
  61. Christian H, Lester L, Trost SG, Trapp G, Schipperijn J, Boruff B, et al. Shade coverage, ultraviolet radiation and children's physical activity in early childhood education and care. *International Journal of Public Health*. 2019;64(9):1325-33. DOI: 10.1007/s00038-019-01289-y
  62. Government of Western Australia. Media Statements [Available from: <https://www.wa.gov.au/government/media-statements/Cook-Labor-Government>].
  63. Christian HE, Lester L, Al Marzooqi MK, Trost SG, Papageorgiou A. The Association Between Preschooler Physical Activity Duration and Intensity and Social Emotional Development: Findings From the PLAYCE Study. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health*. 2021;18(7):844-50. DOI: 10.1123/jpah.2020-0588
  64. Christian H, Murray K, Trost SG, Schipperijn J, Trapp G, Maitland C, et al. Meeting the Australian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years is associated with better social-emotional development in preschool boys. *Preventive Medicine Reports*. 2022;27:101770-. DOI: 10.1016/j.pmedr.2022.101770
  65. Christian H, Rosenberg, M, Trost, S, Schipperijn, J, Maitland, C, Trapp, G, Lester, L, Boruff, B, Thornton, A, Zubrick, S, Powell, J, Wenden, E. A snapshot of the PLAYCE project: Findings from the Western Australian PLAY Spaces and Environments for Children's Physical Activity Study. *Supportive Childcare Environments for Physical Activity in the Early Years*. Perth,

Western Australia: The University of Western Australia, School of Population and Global Health; 2018.

66. Bai P, Thornton A, Lester L, Schipperijn J, Trapp G, Boruff B, et al. Nature Play and Fundamental Movement Skills Training Programs Improve Childcare Educator Supportive Physical Activity Behavior. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2019;17(1):223. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17010223
67. Christian HE, Cross D, Rosenberg M, Schipperijn J, Shilton T, Trapp G, et al. Development of physical activity policy and implementation strategies for early childhood education and care settings using the Delphi process. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 2020;17(1):131-. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-020-01034-2
68. Adams EK, Nathan A, Trost SG, Schipperijn J, Shilton T, Trapp G, et al. Play Active physical activity policy intervention and implementation support in early childhood education and care: results from a pragmatic cluster randomised trial. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 2023;20(1):46. DOI: 10.1186/s12966-023-01442-0
69. Bai P, Schipperijn J, Rosenberg M, Christian H. Where are preschoolers active in childcare centers? A hot-spot analysis using GIS, GPS and accelerometry data. *Children's Geographies*. 2023;21(4):660-76. DOI:10.1080/14733285.2022.2104627
70. Christian H, Lester L, Trost SG, Schipperijn J, Pereira G, Franklin P, et al. Traffic exposure, air pollution and children's physical activity at early childhood education and care. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*. 2022;240:113885. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2021.113885
71. Armstrong GP, Maitland C, Lester L, Trost SG, Trapp G, Boruff B, et al. Associations between the home yard and preschoolers' outdoor play and physical activity. *Public Health Research & Practice*. 2019;29(1). DOI: 10.17061/phrp2911907
72. Coci M, Saunders J, Christian H. Barriers and motivators for preschoolers playing and walking with their dog: Results from qualitative research. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*. 2021;33(1):19-27. DOI: 10.1002/hpja.483
73. Wenden EJ, Lester L, Zubrick SR, Ng M, Christian HE. The relationship between dog ownership, dog play, family dog walking, and pre-schooler social-emotional development: findings from the PLAYCE observational study. *Pediatric Research*. 2021;89(4):1013-9. DOI: 10.1038/s41390-020-1007-2
74. Bai P, Schipperijn, J, Rosenberg, M, Christian, H., Neighbourhood places for preschool children's physical activity - A mixed-methods study using GPS, GIS and accelerometry data. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health*. 2023;9;20(8):781-791;. DOI: 10.1123/jpah.2022-0462
75. Robinson T, Nathan A, Murray K, Christian H. Parents' Perceptions of the Neighbourhood Built Environment Are Associated with the Social and Emotional Development of Young Children. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2022;19(11):6476. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph19116476
76. Nathan A, Schipperijn J, Robinson T, George P, Boruff B, Trost SG, et al. The moderating role of parent perceptions in relationships between objectively measured neighbourhood environment attributes and pre-schooler's physical activity: Findings from the PLAYCE study. *Health and Place*. 2023;81:103030. DOI: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2023.103030